



# **LÜDERITZ TOWN COUNCIL**

**PROCUREMENT MANAGEMENT UNIT**  
(ESTABLISHED UNDER SECTION 6 OF THE PUBLIC PROCUREMENT ACT, 2015)

**PROCUREMENT REFERENCE NO. W/ONB/LTC-  
04/2017**

## **ADJUDICATION REPORT**

**For**

**CONSTRUCTION OF SERVICES – PHASE  
03**

Revision 0

# **PROCUREMENT REF. NO: W/ONB/LTC-04/2017**

## **CONSTRUCTION OF SERVICES- PHASE 03**

### **BID EVALUATION REPORT**

23 January 2018

#### **CONTENTS**

<b>Section</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2. List of Bids Received</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. Evaluation of Bids</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. Compliance of Bids</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>5. Comparison between Bided Rates</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>6. Arithmetic Errors and Omissions</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>7. Conclusions</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>8. Recommendations</b>	<b>7</b>

Appendix A: Enquiry Opening Schedule

Appendix B: Priced Activity Schedules (Spreadsheet)

Appendix C: Bidders' Technical Evaluation Sheets

Appendix D: Weighted Technical Score Sheets

**PROCUREMENT REF. NO: W/ONB/LTC-01/2017**

**CONSTRUCTION OF SERVICES - PHASE 03**

**BID EVALUATION REPORT**

**1. INTRODUCTION**

The Bid invitation called for experienced professional contractors with a good track record in the civil works. The aim of this project is to provide public toilets in the informal settlement, a bulk water line and booster pump as well as providing services to erf 2055.

Bid closed on the 15<sup>th</sup> of January 2018 at 11:00 am.

This report details the adjudication of the bids submitted and recommends the award of the contract to a suitably qualified, competent, experienced and compliant bidder based on the general guidelines outlined in the New Public Procurement Act 15 of 2015 enacted as from April 2017.

**2. LIST OF BIDS RECEIVED AND SUBMITTED PRICES**

A total of seven (7) bids were received at close of bidding period when bid period closed at the offices of the Lüderitz Town Council. The bidders names, submitted (**un-corrected**) prices are listed below:

	<b><u>Bidders Name</u></b>	<b><u>Bid Amount</u></b>
1.	Gecko Fifty Eight Investments	N\$ 3 892 692,29
2.	Hui Tani Investments	N\$ 3 856 170,69
3.	Vaalgras Construction	N\$ 5 312 238,13
4.	Earthworks, Buildings and Civil Contractors	N\$ 5 376 089,00
5.	Strydo Construction	N\$ 5 974 250,00
6.	Pandu Construction	N\$ 3 706 697,37
7.	Buchter Bricks and Blocks	N\$ 5 386 048,58

### **3. EVALUATION OF BIDS**

In line with the new Public Procurement Act of 2015, bids will be evaluated for technical compliance as well as an eligibility check. Those satisfying both the eligibility check and all technical requirements will then be evaluated financially.

Eligibility checks will include ensuring that all required documentation have been submitted. Refer to See Appendix C for bidder's individual Compliance Sheets. Contractors that do not satisfy the eligibility check will not be considered in subsequent adjudications.

Technical evaluation will include several checks to ensure that bids submitted meet minimum requirements required to guarantee successful completion of the project. The checks include bidders' ability to perform based on previous contracts, personnel as well as plant availed by the bidders for this contract as well as comprehensive and correct completion of the forms by the bidder. Refer to the Technical compliance sheet in Appendix D for requirements. Tenderers had to score a minimum weighted score of 40% in order to qualify technically. A compliance sheet for each bidder with details on the most important checks the bid was exposed to and the resultant outcomes (refer to Appendix C & D) for individual technical compliance sheets and weighted technical scores.

The bidder that has the lowest financial bid and is technically compliant will be awarded the contract.

### **4. COMPLIANCE OF BIDS**

Compliance is measured in terms of the factors as listed on the Score Sheets in Appendix C.

#### **4.1 Gecko Fifty Eight Investments**

##### Eligibility Criteria

Bid has been rendered eligible. Refer to Appendix C for further information.

##### Weighted Score

This bid has scored 44.67% and hence has qualified technically. Refer to appendix D for weighted technical scores.

Below summarises some of the errors done by the bidder:

- × References not submitted but completion certificates and recommendations from previously completed projects submitted;
- × Refer to ITB as referenced in Appendix D for minimum requirements;

This bidder will therefore be included in the subsequent evaluation.

## 4.2 Hui Tani Investments

### Eligibility Criteria

Bid has been rendered **ineligible**. Refer to Appendix C for further information.

### Weighted Score

This bid has scored **4.43%** and hence has **failed** to qualify technically. Refer to appendix D for weighted technical scores.

Below summarises some of the reasons for failure as well as other errors:

- × Attachments not indexed and bonded as separate book;
- × Bid Security not submitted;
- × Bid document not filled in completely;
- × Program of works not submitted;
- × Pencil used in some sections of the document;
- × No relevant CVs of personnel submitted;
- × No references submitted;
- × Refer to ITB as referenced in Appendix D for minimum requirements;

This bidder will therefore be **not** included in the subsequent evaluation.

## 4.3 Vaalgras Construction

### Eligibility Criteria

Bid has been rendered **ineligible**. Refer to Appendix C for further information.

### Weighted Score

This bid has scored **52.50%** and hence has **qualified** technically. Refer to appendix D for weighted technical scores.

Below summarises some of the reasons for failure as well as other errors:

- × Attachments not indexed and bonded as separate book;
- × Bid Documents not re-assembled correctly;
- × Bid Security not submitted;
- × Bid document not filled in completely;
- × Program of works not submitted;
- × Refer to ITB as referenced in Appendix D for minimum requirements.

This bid will **not** be included in the subsequent evaluation because it has not satisfied the Eligibility criteria.

## 4.4 Earthworks, Buildings and Civil Contractors

### Eligibility Criteria

Bid has been rendered **ineligible**. Refer to Appendix C for further information.

### Weighted Score

This bid has scored 66.50% and hence has qualified technically. Refer to appendix D for weighted technical scores.

Below summarises some of the errors made by the bidder:

- × Bid Security submitted for other project;
- × Proof of ownership of plant not submitted;
- × Refer to ITB as referenced in Appendix D for minimum requirements.

This bid will **not** be included in the subsequent evaluation because it has not satisfied the Eligibility Criteria.

#### 4.5 **Strydo Construction**

##### Eligibility Criteria

Bid has been rendered eligible. Refer to Appendix C for further information.

##### Weighted Score

This bid has scored 65.63% and hence has qualified technically. Refer to appendix D for weighted technical scores.

No errors were made by the bidder. Refer to ITB as referenced in Appendix D for minimum requirements.

This bidder will therefore be included in the subsequent evaluation.

#### 4.6 **Pandu Construction CC**

##### Eligibility Criteria

Bid has been rendered ineligible. Refer to Appendix C for further information.

##### Weighted Score

This bid has scored 1.00% and hence has **failed** to qualify technically. Refer to appendix D for weighted technical scores.

Below summarises some of the errors:

- × No Copies of documents submitted;
- × Attachments not indexed and bonded as separate book;
- × Bid document not filled in completely;
- × Bid Security not submitted;
- × Priced Activity Schedule not completely filled in;
- × No company or team organogram submitted;
- × No relevant CVs of personnel submitted;
- × No references submitted;
- × Financial Statements not submitted
- × Refer to ITB as referenced in Appendix D for minimum requirements.

This bidder will therefore be **not** included in the subsequent evaluation.

#### 4.7 Buchter Bricks and Blocks CC

##### Eligibility Criteria

Bid has been rendered **ineligible**. Refer to Appendix C for further information.

##### Weighted Score

This bid has scored **53.55%** and hence has **qualified** technically. Refer to appendix D for weighted technical scores.

Below summarises some of the errors:

- × Bid Security submitted late (during tender opening);
- × Refer to ITB as referenced in Appendix D for minimum requirements.

This bidder will therefore be **not** included in the subsequent evaluation.

**NOTE:** Two (2) of the seven (7) bids received at bid close have been considered eligible and technically compliant in this Section and will hence be financially evaluated.

#### 5. COMPARISON BETWEEN RATES PROVIDED

A spreadsheet has been prepared showing the rates submitted by the bidders.

A copy is attached in Appendix B of this report.

#### 6. ARITHMETIC ERRORS AND OMISSIONS

Below is a table showing the revised amounts of only the bidders considered compliant technically.

	<u>Bidders Name</u>		<u>Revised Bid Amount</u>
1.	Gecko Fifty Eight Investments CC	N\$	3 906 865.74
2.	Strydo Construction	N\$	6 126 383,96

#### 7. CONCLUSIONS

The following conclusions can be drawn from this bid adjudication report:

**7.1** Seventeen (17) bidders acquired bid documents from the Town Council.

**7.2** A non-compulsory Bid Clarification Meeting was held at the Lüderitz Town Council Chambers on 8 December 2017.

- 7.3 A total of Seven (7) bids were received at the close of bid.
- 7.4 Ten (10) bids were not submitted at close of bid at the Lüderitz Town Council.

**TECHNICAL PROPOSALS:**

- 7.5 Two (2) bidders' have been considered to be technically compliant and have been considered in the subsequent evaluation.
- 7.6 These bidders have been considered to be fairly experienced to undertake a project of this magnitude and complexity.

**8. RECOMMENDATIONS**

Subject to the above, the bidding committee recommends the bidder, who can be appointed for this contract, based on the following;

- 8.1 The bid submitted has been deemed compliant;
- 8.2 The bidder has the necessary plant to execute the works;
- 8.3 The bidder has the necessary personnel to execute the works;
- 8.4 The bidder has the necessary experience to execute the works;
- 8.5 The bidder has good references available;
- 8.6 Given the time frame, where 60% of the works are to be complete within the first 2 months, the bidder should have proper references that he can complete the works within the stipulated timeframe.
- 8.7 The bidder's financial proposal is the lowest of all technically compliant bids.

***We therefore recommend, based on the aforesaid criteria, that***

***PROCUREMENT REF. NO: W/ONB/LTC-04/2017: CONSTRUCTION OF SERVICES –  
PHASE 03***

Be awarded to:

**GECKO FIFTY EIGHT INVESTMENTS CC for an amount of**

**N\$ 3,906,865.74**



Yours faithfully,

<b>Name</b>	<b>Signature</b>
1. Heikki Nauyoma	_____
2. Andrew Nawaseb	_____
3. Seal Consulting Engineers	_____

# **APPENDIX A**

## **ENQUIRY OPENING SCHEDULE**

# **APPENDIX B**

## **BIDDED RATES (SPREADSHEET)**

# **APPENDIX C**

## **BIDDERS SCORE SHEETS**

# **APPENDIX D**

## **WEIGHTED TECHNICAL SCORES**